

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/601,301 | 06/13/2003 | Seiji Sarayama | 2271/62289-Z | 5867 |
| 7590 09/01/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| RICHARD F. JAWORSKI | | | но, ти ти v | |
| Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2818 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | T A 11 41 31 | 1 2 11 11 11 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| | 10/601,301 | SARAYAMA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| , | Tu-Tu Ho | 2818 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | · | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A | <u>ugust 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>87-93</u> is/are pending in the applicatio | n · | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 87-93 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 14 October 2003 is/are | : a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected | I to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | kaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat | ion No. <u>09/590,063</u> . | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio | • | ed in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Burea | • | -u | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | • | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/601,301 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/22/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 87-93 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 87 recites:

"a bulk crystal substrate of GaN comprising:

a slab of GaN single crystal having a substantially uniform composition of GaN in a thickness direction of said slab, said GaN single crystal slab being produced by a process comprising the steps of:

forming a molten flux of a volatile metal element in a pressurized reaction vessel confining therein said molten flux together with an atmosphere containing N (nitrogen), such that said molten flux includes Ga in addition to said volatile metal element;

growing GaN in the form of a single crystal body in said molten flux; and supplying a compound containing N into said reaction vessel from a source located outside said reaction vessel."

The claim, in short, is directed to a *product-by-process* single-crystal GaN substrate having a substantially uniform composition of GaN in a thickness direction of said slab. As such, the process steps are only evaluated to determine the final properties and characteristics of the product (MPEP 2113 [R-1]). In the instant case, it is not clear how the claimed process steps contribute to the "substantially uniform composition of GaN in a thickness direction" property of the slab, specially that "substantially uniform composition" has not been clearly <u>defined</u> in the specification.

Specifically, as an example, DiSalvo - a prior art of record, cited in the parent application - discloses a slab of GaN single crystal produced by the step of:

forming a molten flux of a volatile metal element (sodium metal, column 4, lines 20-35) in a pressurized reaction vessel confining therein said molten flux together with an atmosphere containing N (nitrogen), such that said molten flux includes Ga in addition to said volatile metal element;

growing GaN in the form of a single crystal body in said molten flux; and

supplying a compound containing N into said reaction vessel from a source located outside said reaction vessel (column 4, lines 31-52, particularly: "The autoclave was sealed, inserted into a furnace, and attached to a <u>nitrogen line</u>").

Would it be appropriate to conclude that the DiSalvo's process steps, which are the same as the claimed process steps, produce a product the same as that as claimed? Namely, would it be appropriate to conclude that the DiSalvo's process steps, which are the same as the claimed process steps, produce a slab of GaN single crystal having a substantially uniform composition of GaN in a thickness direction of said slab?

Claims 88-92 depend from rejected claim 87 and include all limitations of claim 87 thereby rendering these claims indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,301

Art Unit: 2818

Conclusion

Page 4

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tu-Tu Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1778. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, DAVID NELMS can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu-Tu Ho

August 30, 2005